

NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume XIX, Issue No. 2-3

August-September 2013

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

Humanity is drowning in Washington's criminality

By Paul Craig Roberts

Americans will soon be locked into an unaccountable police state unless US Representatives and Senators find the courage to sanction the executive branch officials who break the law, violate the Constitution, withhold information from Congress, and give false information about their crimes against law, the Constitution, the American people and those in Afghanistan, Pakistan, Yemen, Iraq, Libya, Syria, Somalia, Guantanamo, and elsewhere. Congress needs to use the impeachment power that the Constitution provides and cease being subservient to the lawless executive branch. The US faces no threat that justifies the lawlessness and abuse of police powers that characterize the executive branch in the 21st century.

Impeachment is the most important power of Congress. Impeachment is what protects the citizens, the Constitution, and the other branches of government from abuse by the executive branch. If the power to remove abusive executive branch officials is not used, the power ceases to exist. An unused power is like a dead letter law. By acquiescing to executive branch lawlessness, Congress has allowed the executive branch to place itself above law and to escape accountability for its violations of law and the Constitution.

National Intelligence Director James R. Clapper blatantly lied to Congress and remains in office. Keith B. Alexander, Director of the National Security Agency, has also misled Congress, and he remains in office. Attorney General Holder avoids telling Congress the truth on just about every subject, and he also remains in office.

The same can be said for President Obama, one of the great deceivers of our time, who is so adverse to truth that truth seldom finds its way out of his mouth.

If an American citizen lies to a federal investigator, even if not under oath, the citizen can be arrested, prosecuted, and sent to prison. Yet, these same federal personnel can lie to Congress and to citizens with impunity. In Amerika no one is accountable but citizens, who are accountable not only to law but also to unaccountable charges for which no evidence is required.

Congress has the power to impeach any presidential appointee as well as the president. In the 1970s Congress was going to impeach President Richard Nixon simply because he lied about when he learned of the Watergate burglary. To avoid impeachment, he resigned. In the 1990s, the House impeached President Bill Clinton for lying about his sexual affair with a White House intern.

The improprieties of Nixon and Clinton were minor, when compared to the crimes of George W. Bush and Obama, their vice presidents, and the bulk of their presidential appointees. Yet, impeachment is "off the table," as Nancy Pelosi infamously declared. Why do Californian voters send a person to Congress who refuses to protect them from an unaccountable executive branch? Will Californians re-elect her yet again? Little wonder America is failing.

In the famous play, "A Man for All Seasons," Sir Thomas More, Chancellor of England, asks: So, you would have me to cut down the law in order to chase after devils? And what will we do, with the law cut down, when the devil turns on us?

This is the most important legal question ever asked, and it is seldom asked today, not in our law schools, not by our bar associations, and most certainly not by the Justice (sic) Department or US Attorneys.

Conservatives regard civil liberties as mere excuses for liberal judges to coddle criminals and terrorists. Never expect a conservative Republican, or more than two or three of them, to defend your civil liberty. Republicans simply do not believe in civil liberty. Democrats cannot conceive that Obama—the first black president in office, a member of an oppressed minority—would not defend civil liberty. This combination of disinterest and denial is why the US has become a police state.

When 99 percent of all terrorism is organized by the FBI, why do we need NSA spying on every communication of every American and people in the rest of the world?

The neoconservatives have declared that Americans are the "exceptional" and "indispensable people." Yet, we are now so exceptional and indispensable that we no longer have any rights.

And neither does the rest of the world. Neoconservatives have given Washington a monopoly on right and endowed its military aggressions with a morality that supersedes the Geneva Conventions and human rights.

Washington is always morally right, whatever it does, and those who report its crimes are traitors who, stripped of their coddling by civil liberties, are locked away and abused. Anyone who tells the truth, such as Bradley Manning, Julian Assange, and Edward Snowden, are branded enemies of the state and are ruthlessly persecuted.

How does the "indispensable, exceptional nation" have a diplomatic policy? How can a neoconized State Department be based on anything except coercion? It can't. That is why Washington produces nothing but war and threats of war.

This is the face that Washington presents to the world: the hard, mean face of a tyrant.

Gorbachev is correct. The collapse of the Soviet Union was a debacle for the entire world. It transformed the US from the "city upon the hill," the "beacon for humanity," into an aggressive militarist state. Consequently, Amerika has become despised by everyone who has a moral conscience and a sense of justice.

-- paulcraigroberts.org August 13, 2013

Government acknowledges the existence of Area 51 but not the UFOs

The government finally has admitted that Area 51 - the Shangri-La of alien hunters and science-fiction movies - is a real place in the Mojave Desert about 100 miles north of Las Vegas.

Area 51 is confirmed in declassified CIA documents posted online Thursday by the National Security Archive at George Washington University.

The military, which runs the base, always denied that Area 51 was called by its famous moniker, preferring the designation the Groom Lake salt flat, a landing strip for the U-2 and other stealth aircraft.

"Your honor, there is no name," an Air Force attorney told a federal judge in 1995. "There is no name for the operating location near Groom Lake."

The hearing was part of an environmental poisoning case brought by Area 51 workers who said that they had been sickened by exposure to toxic chemicals burned in open pits on the base.

The men could tell no one what they did; they had signed national-security oaths barring any disclosures about the black-budget facility. But some became plaintiffs in a case against the government brought by George Washington University law professor Jonathan Turley.

In 1955, President Eisenhower "approved the addition of this strip of wasteland, known by its map designation as Area 51, to the Nevada Test Site," according to the CIA.

In the 1990s, the Clinton administration fought fiercely to prevent the Area 51 workers from going forward

with their case. President Clinton signed an order exempting Area 51 from disclosing its pollution records.

Eventually the plaintiffs won a Pyrrhic victory. Turley prevailed in proving that environmental laws were violated at Area 51. "They were forced to clean up the facility," he said Friday.

"It was a major victory legally, but it felt quite incomplete," Turley said. "The workers received nothing but the satisfaction of knowing the facility was brought up to compliance."

They had hoped at least to have some of their medical expenses paid for by the government.

-- Washington Post, 17 August 13

Presidential War Powers: the Constitutional Answer

By Tom Woods

There's a lot of confusion regarding the president's war powers under the Constitution. Here's a reply to the claim: "Presidents have sent men into battle hundreds of times without getting Congressional authorization."

At the time of the Korean War, a number of congressmen contended that "history will show that on more than 100 occasions in the life of this Republic the President as Commander in Chief has ordered the fleet or the troops to do certain things which involved the risk of war" without the consent of Congress. In 1966, in defense of the Vietnam War, the State Department adopted a similar line: "Since the Constitution was adopted there have been at least 125 instances in which the President has ordered the armed forces to take action or maintain positions abroad without obtaining prior congressional authorization, starting with the 'undeclared war' with France (1798-1800)."

We have already seen that the war with France in no way lends support to those who favor broad presidential war powers. As for the rest, the great presidential scholar Edward S. Corwin pointed out that the alleged precedents consisted mainly of "fights with pirates, landings of small naval contingents on barbarous or semi-barbarous coasts, the dispatch of small bodies of troops to chase bandits or cattle rustlers across the Mexican border, and the like."

To support their position, therefore, the neoconservatives and their left-liberal clones are counting chases of cattle rustlers as examples of presidential warmaking, and as precedents for sending millions of Americans into war with foreign governments on the other side of the globe.

DARPA to genetically engineer humans by adding a 47th chromosome

Have you ever seen the sci-fi flick *Gattaca*?

In that 1997 film, society is structured around eugenics as people are bioengineered to be 'perfect specimens', and one's entire life and position in the world is based on their genetics. Those conceived naturally without genetic screening are proclaimed "invalid" and only allowed menial jobs, despite the innate talents and skills they may possess. Alternately, the 2011 movie *In Time* portrays a dystopic future where humans are genetically programmed to stop aging at 25 and could live forever — so long as they earn enough "time credits" to afford to stay alive; the poor perish swiftly under an artificially skyrocketing cost of living that times out their clocks, while the rich who steer the technocracy are gaming the system and living indefinitely.

Such nightmare scenarios place obvious restrictions on the *natural right* to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Back in reality, alarmingly similar ends are being pursued.

DARPA, the Department of Defense's research arm, has just put out a solicitation for a project called, "Advanced Tools for Mammalian Genome Engineering."

This project isn't just for engineering any mammal's genome, however; it's specifically for the bioengineering of humans.

The proposal explains the project's details:

"The ability to deliver exogenous DNA to mammalian cell lines is a fundamental tool in the development of advanced therapeutics, vaccines, and cellular diagnostics, as well as for basic biological and biomedical research... The successful development of technologies for rapid introduction of large DNA vectors into human cell lines will enable the ability to engineer much more complex functionalities into human cell lines than are currently possible."

The project's stated objective is to "improve the utility of Human Artificial Chromosomes (HACs)." (Gallows humor jokes about how DARPA wants to literally HAC(k) you can be made at any time.) According to Wikipedia:

"A human artificial chromosome (HAC) is a microchromosome that can act as a new chromosome in a population of human cells. That is, instead of 46 chromosomes, the cell could have 47

with the 47th being able to carry new genes introduced by human researchers."

So DARPA wants to introduce an entirely new 47th chromosome into human genetics as a vector platform for inserting bio-alterations and wholesale genetic "improvements" into our DNA.

The agency hopes that development of a new chromosome will allow a solution to the limitations of current "state-of-the-art" gene transfer technologies. The proposal explains that existing approaches must be improved due to known drawbacks in the scientists' failure to control their results, causing a few minor major problems:

"These include random DNA insertion into the host genome, variation in stable integration sites between cell lines, variation in the copy number and expression level of DNA that is delivered, limitations on the number and size of DNA constructs that can be delivered, and immunological responses to foreign DNA."

Yet these techniques are already in use? How reassuring.

Ever hear the term 'playing God'? Scientists who work in these fields not only refer to themselves as "genome engineers," but "biological designers". This January 2013 piece in the journal *Molecular Systems Biology* introduces the topic with a chilling description:

"The phrase 'genome-scale engineering' invokes a future in which organisms are custom designed to serve humanity. Yet humans have sculpted the genomes of domesticated plants and animals for generations. Darwin's contemporary William Youatt described selective breeding as 'that which enables the agriculturalist, not only to modify the character of his flock, but to change it altogether. It is the magician's wand, by means of which he may summon into life whatever form and mold he pleases' (Youatt, 1837)."

It's impossible to even compile an accurate listing of all the potential slippery slopes at play here, yet it is clear that this entails a momentous grasp at controlling life, which not only empowers an already dictatorial technocratic elite, but emboldens a delusional and destructive cadre intent on overwriting the existing species now on Earth.

Through DARPA, our government funds a laundry list of projects to gain technocratic control of the future that reads more like a summary of the latest sci-fi horror film

plots. Case in point: just today *Activist Post* reported "Secret DARPA Mind Control Project Revealed: Leaked Document".

When looking at one DARPA project to perfect mind control techniques — or a second DARPA project to study the human hormone oxytocin to 'improve' our response to government propaganda — or a third DARPA brain interface project that would literally turn soldiers into cyborgs, it's creepy enough. However, when looking at all of these projects *together* as a *combined effort*... it raises chilling questions as to what kind of dystopic, technocratic future they are forcing us into.

Exactly how many far-reaching and potentially disastrous implications of our government literally playing God are there? -- Aaron Dykes and Melissa Melton July 29, 2013, truthstreammedia.com

Senators introduce bill to restore constitutional limitations on federal power

WASHINGTON, D.C. — This week, U.S. Senator Mike Enzi and John Barrasso, both R-Wyo., joined Senators Tom Coburn, R-Okla., and Rand Paul, R-Ky., in introducing the Enumerated Powers Act of 2013. This bill would give members of Congress more tools to stop unconstitutional legislation.

Enzi and Barrasso said that for too long Congress has been ignoring our founding fathers' belief that government must be limited in order to safeguard the freedoms and liberties that rightfully belong to the people. The senators believe that this legislation is needed to help limit Congressional legislation to those powers enumerated in the Constitution.

The Enumerated Powers Act of 2013:

- 1) Requires each act of Congress, bill, resolution, conference report and amendment to "contain a concise explanation of the specific authority in the Constitution" that is the basis for its enactment.
- 2) States any legislation that abolishes a federal activity, spending, or overall power may cite the 9th or 10th Amendments to the Constitution, which both state that there are rights reserved for the people and states which the federal government may not infringe on.
- 3) Prohibits the use of the Commerce Clause, except for "the regulation of the buying and selling of goods or services, or the transporting for those purposes,

across boundaries with foreign nations, across state lines, or with Indian tribes..."

- 4) Allows a point of order to be raised in either house of Congress for bills that fail to cite constitutional authority.
- 5) Cites the constitutional authority to enact the Enumerated Powers Act, which falls under Article I, Section 5, Clause 2 of the Constitution, allowing each house to determine the rules of its proceedings.

Enzi and Barrasso cosponsored the Enumerated Powers Act of 2013 with 34 other senators.

-- Press Release, www.enzi.senate.gov, August 1, 2013

Constitution Class

Michael Badnarik teaches his famous class about the Constitution. If you don't know the difference between a right and a privilege, this is a must see! If you think you know the difference, then answer this question: Is the U.S. a democracy? Most people get his answer wrong. No, it is not a democracy. For the correct answer order this 7 part video presentation. The seminar could very well be the most educational 7 hours of your life and will help you defend your rights when U.N. soldiers come knocking on your door demanding to see your papers. 420 min. 2 DVD set \$29.95 (Order# 1580) – Call 1-800 700-8802; ISA, 4-831 Kuhio Hwy., Suite 438-333, Kapaa, Hawaii 96746, USA

Cops can track cell phones without warrants, appeals court rules

A federal appeals court ruled today that the government does not need a probable-cause warrant to access mobile-phone subscribers' cell-site information, a decision reversing lower court decisions that said the location data was protected by the Fourth Amendment.

The 2-1 decision by the 5th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals is the third federal appeals court to decide the privacy issue.

New Jersey's high court two weeks ago ruled that warrants were required for the location data.

The Supreme Court has not ruled on the issue. However, the justices last month rejected an appeal from a drug courier sentenced to 20 years after being nabbed with 1,100 pounds of marijuana in a motor home camper the authorities tracked via his mobile phone pinging cell towers.

In the beginning of change the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot. – Mark Twain

Today's 5th Circuit ruling comes as the authorities have widely adopted using warrantless cell-tower locational tracking of criminal suspects in the wake of the Supreme Court's ruling 18 months ago that they need probable-cause warrants from judges to affix covert GPS devices to vehicles.

The 5th Circuit, which sets law in Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas, concluded that the locational history of a mobile phone does not enjoy constitutional protections because the government has not performed the tracking, and that the data is simply a business record owned by carriers:

... cell site information is clearly a business record. The cell service provider collects and stores historical cell site data for its own business purposes, perhaps to monitor or optimize service on its network or to accurately bill its customers for the segments of its network that they use. The Government does not require service providers to record this information or store it. The providers control what they record and how long these records are retained."

The government argued that a mobile-phone company may disclose historical cell-site records created and kept by the company in its ordinary course of business, where such an order is based on a showing of "specific and articulable facts" that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the records sought are relevant and material to an ongoing criminal investigation.

A record number of Americans are embracing mobile phones, which are a de facto style of tracking. As of December, there were 326.4 million wireless subscriber accounts, exceeding the U.S. population, according to the Wireless Association.

-- Wired Magazine, 31 July 13

Militarized police gone wild across America

America is rapidly devolving into the oppressive police state we've been warning readers about. Right now, cops are exhibiting thuggish, out-of-control "mafia" behavior as they run loose across America, terrorizing innocent citizens, shooting up the vehicles of people who are merely driving cars on public roadways, taking warrantless blood draws from drivers, shooting pet dogs of people who are merely filming police, raiding farmers at gunpoint over raw milk and terrorizing young women for buying bottled water and cookie dough.

All of these are real and happening right now in America. The

cause behind them? Police are being "militarized" through federal training while being given weapons of war through federal grants.

The Boston marathon bombing proved that local police will now act completely outside of law and initiate **Martial Law** in a manhunt for a teenager. It wasn't declared Martial Law, of course... it was called "shelter in place," meaning you could not leave your homes. Once the lockdown was in place, Boston police went door to door, yanking citizens out of their homes at **gunpoint**, screaming at them to put their hands up or be **shot on sight**. This was all done completely without any warrant or any suspicion of wrongdoing on the part of homeowners.

It later turned out that the entire Boston marathon bombing was meticulously planned in advance by the Boston police and was run as a "terror drill" to terrorize Boston and give the police some much-needed practice in running a police state oppression scenario. This is all 100% confirmed and openly admitted. Even the *Boston Globe* reported, on June 8th:

The scenario had been carefully planned: A terrorist group prepared to hurt vast numbers of people around Boston would leave backpacks filled with explosives at Faneuil Hall, the Seaport District, and in other towns, spreading waves of panic and fear. Detectives would have to catch the culprits. ... But two months before the training exercise was to take place, the city was hit with a real terrorist attack executed in a frighteningly similar fashion.

Now, apparently, local law enforcement can simply utter the word "manhunt!" and completely ignore all citizens' rights, the Bill of Rights, due process and state and federal law. **Police have become rogue gangs with complete immunity** from all crimes even while they are the ones committing crimes on a massive scale. They can pull you over for no crime whatsoever, stick their fingers inside your anus and call it a "roadside search" while using the same glove on you that they just used on somebody else's anus.

[Two young women were stopped for speeding on Memorial day 2012 in Brazoria County, Texas. "There is an odor of marijuana in the vehicle," says Trooper Nathaniel Turner. The women have filed a lawsuit against the Department of Public Safety (DPS) claiming they were mistreated when "authorities forced them to undergo embarrassing body searches right on

the side of the road." The women complained that the trooper failed to change her gloves during the cavity searches. Trooper Jennie Bui, who performed the search, was terminated a few days ago and Trooper Nathaniel Turner is under suspension. The Brazoria County Sheriff's Office has declined to comment while the suit is pending litigation. -- InfoWars July 3, 2013]

-- www.naturalnews.com July 6, 2013

Origins of the English Militia

By David Kopel

David Hume's *The History of England* (1778) tells the story of the Danish conquest of England. The Danes drove King Alfred [849-99] off the throne, forcing him to flee incognito, disguised as a peasant. Alfred then "retired into the center of a bog, formed by the stagnating waters of the Thone and Parret, in Somersetshire. He here found two acres of firm ground; and building a habitation on them, rendered himself secure by its fortifications, and still more by the unknown and inaccessible roads which led to it, and by the forests and morasses, with which it was every way environed. This place he called Aethelingay, or the Isle of Nobles; and it now bears the name of Athelney. He thence made frequent and unexpected sallies upon the Danes, who often felt the vigour of his arm."

In fact, Alfred did emerge later, after having scouted the Danes by going into their camp disguised as a harper. He did eventually drive out many of the Danes, and subdue the rest. He then set about creating better conditions for security from invasion, and from the tyranny which the Danes had once imposed:

"The king, after rebuilding the ruined cities, particularly London, which had been destroyed by the Danes in the reign of Ethelwolf, established a regular militia for the defence of the kingdom. He ordained that all his people should be armed and registered; he assigned them a regular rotation of duty; he distributed part into the castles and fortresses, which he built at proper places; he required another part to take the field on any alarm, and to assemble at stated places of rendezvous; and he left a sufficient number at home, who were employed in the cultivation of the land, and who afterwards took their turn in military service. The whole kingdom was like one great garrison; and the Danes could no sooner appear in one place, than a sufficient number was assembled to oppose them, without

leaving the other quarters defenceless or disarmed."

The Supreme Court's 1939 decision in *United States v. Miller* noted Alfred the Great's creation of a militia for England: "Blackstone's Commentaries, Vol. 2, Ch. 13, p. 409 points out 'that king Alfred first settled a national militia in this kingdom,' and traces the subsequent development and use of such forces."

-- www.volokh.com August 26, 2013

Lawmakers issued license plates that make them "invisible"

By Tom Cushing

There are rules for the common people and rules for their "leaders," and only rarely do the same rules cover both. For example, Colorado legislators are immune from speeding tickets parking tickets thanks to special plates -- that aren't included in the DMV database.

According to CBS Denver, when some state senator goes zooming by a speed camera, he or she won't get a ticket, because the camera system looks up the license plate number through the DMV. Since no info comes up, no ticket is given.

In Iowa earlier this year, Governor Terry Branstad's speeding SUV was pursued by a highway patrol officer. Like in Colorado, certain public vehicles are issued plates that aren't listed with the DMV, which makes these vehicles exempt from traffic laws. The trooper raising the complaint about the governor's speeding driver (another state trooper) was placed on leave.

After this small debacle, Governor Branstad became upset about the number of specialized license plates that have been given to state, local and federal agencies.

Over 350 agencies in Iowa have these plates at their disposal. Branstad probably will be forcing some to play by the same set of rules as the public.

But that's nothing compared to the staggering level of abuse taking place in our nation's capital. Back in 2008, the US Committee on Transportation documented 4000 cases in 2007 where employees in federal vehicles skipped out paying parking tickets worth \$700,000 in Washington, DC and New York City. The total does not include unpaid tickets in the 50 states where 642,000 automobiles registered to the US government are in use.

Federal workers were not alone in ignoring parking laws. DC

government vehicles generated 329 unpaid tickets worth \$33,360 while New York City and state vehicles skipped out on paying 2562 tickets worth \$490,939.

The worst offender? The FBI, which the report found to be responsible for the largest number of delinquent parking tickets by a single agency. The FBI, properly chastened, examined the cases listed and, found itself "unable to come up any suspects who may have been responsible for illegally parking FBI vehicles on 218 occasions."

To the surprise of roughly no one, those responsible for enacting and enforcing laws are seldom as interested in following them.

-- www.informationliberation.com Aug. 6, 2013

Freedom for me, but not for thee

An aide to Democratic Rep. John Conyers one of the loudest voices on Capitol Hill for additional federal gun control laws caught a lucky break just recently.

Betty Petrenz, who works as office manager for Mr. Conyers, brought a gun inside her purse into a federal building in Detroit, The Daily Caller reported.

But officers let her off with a warning no ticket, no fine, no jail time. Typically, carting a weapon into a federal building is against the law.

"Children are literally suspended from school for bringing gun-shaped pop tarts to school, yet this Democratic clerk gets off scott-free," a blogger wrote at the conservative news site Rare.

Mr. Conyers has a low tolerance for Second Amendment violations. The National Rifle Association rates him an "F" for gun rights.

-- Washington Times July 10, 2013

"The anti-gun ObamaCare monstrosity"

Prior to its enactment, ObamaCare had provisions in the bill to have physicians ask their patients and their family members if there were firearms in their homes, so that information could be shared with multiple agencies in the government. Thankfully, we [GOA] managed to have those sections gutted from the bill.

But Obama simply wrote an "Executive Action" in January, authorizing physicians to become spies for the government by asking their patients and their children about the guns they own.

There is only one purpose for this action. It is done so that information can be entered into a federal database, accessible to the FBI, the ATF and, undoubtedly, any other agency that is interested.

This database will become a registry of law-abiding gun owners – something that is specifically prohibited by congressional legislation.

-- Gun Owners of America mailer, August 2013, urging members to call for the repeal of the "anti-gun ObamaCare monstrosity"

Gun Rights Expert Hits Obama Government On Stand Your Ground Issue

Washington, DC - "The Obama administration attack on stand your ground laws suggests the White House cannot tolerate the individual right to self defense and the right to use force of arms in self-defense," gun rights expert John M. Snyder said here today.

"Obama's attorney general, Eric Holder," noted Snyder, "said the other day with regard to stand your ground laws that, 'it's time to question laws that senselessly expand the concept of self-defense.' The attorney general does not value stand your ground laws to protect the right to self-defense, to protect life itself from prospective criminal attack.

"This government does not recognize the natural right to life itself, let alone the right to keep and bear arms to defend life....

"Basically," said Snyder, "the Obama administration refuses to accept the concept of natural right. Whatever rights this government recognizes come from the state, and not from nature or nature's God.

Now Obama is using the recent Zimmerman verdict as a platform for promoting gun control.

"A jury of six women exonerated Florida defendant George Zimmerman," noted Snyder. "Zimmerman used a gun last year to kill an alleged assailant in self-defense. Zimmerman was charged with second degree murder and manslaughter, and the jury determined Saturday that Zimmerman was not guilty of the charges."

Snyder said, "Obama and his political and media supporters just can't seem to get through their heads that law-abiding citizens need to be able to get guns and use them in legitimate self-defense.

"About 100 million Americans own about 300 million rifles, shotguns and handguns. Americans use guns in

legitimate defense over a million times a year.

"Obama and company don't understand that America is gun country. Firearms are at least as American as apple pie. People believe in the right of self-defense and in the right to use a gun in self-defense."

-- www.GunRightsPolicies.org July 22, 2013

Special Forces Association Affirms Support for the Right to Keep and Bear Arms

WHEREAS, the Second Amendment of the Constitution of the United States specifically states that the people have the right to keep and bear arms; ...

RESOLVED, by The Special Forces Association in National Convention assembled in San Antonio, Texas, June 26-30, 2013, that The Special Forces Association and its membership affirms its recognition that the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States guarantees each law-abiding American citizen the right to keep and bear arms; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED that The Special Forces Association opposes all laws, ordinances, measures or rules by local, state, or federal governments that infringe or deprive honest citizens of their Second Amendment rights; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED that The Special Forces Association will work and utilize all lawful means to prevent any and all attempts to infringe Second Amendment rights; and, be it

FINALLY RESOLVED, That the membership of The Special Forces Association urges our Nation's lawmakers to recognize, as part of their oaths of office, that the Second Amendment guarantees law-abiding citizens the right to keep and bear the arms of their choice, and on behalf of the millions of American veterans who have fought, and continue to fight, to preserve those rights, hereby advise the Congress of the United States and the Executive Department to cease and desist any and all efforts to restrict these rights by any legislation or order.

-- www.humanevents.com/2013/07/15

Letters to the Editor

Dear Editor,

The March edition of your newsletter was excellent. Quick question though -- years ago Montana passed the Firearms Freedom Act. What is the status?

Sincerely,
AA, Eastern federal prison

Ed.: AA, here's the latest

Ninth Circuit Rules in Firearms Freedom Act Case

The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals released its opinion today in *MSSA v. Holder*, reversing the District Court ruling that the Montana Shooting Sports Association lacked standing to sue the federal government.

The MSSA-sponsored Montana Firearms Freedom Act, signed into law in 2009, declares that firearms made and retained in Montana are not subject to federal regulation under Congress' power to "regulate commerce ... among the states."

MSSA President Gary Marbut set up the legal challenge by deciding to manufacture a youth-model, .22 caliber, bolt-action rifle called the "Montana Buckaroo," even though the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms informed Marbut that any such unlicensed manufacture would be illegal under federal law.

In its long-awaited ruling, the Ninth Circuit reversed the federal District Court on the standing issue, saying that Marbut has standing to bring the challenge, but held that existing Supreme Court precedent was against plaintiffs on the merits of the lawsuit.

Marbut commented, "This was about as good of a ruling as we could have expected from the Ninth Circuit. We must get to the U.S. Supreme Court to accomplish our goal of overturning 70 years of flawed Supreme Court rulings on the interstate commerce clause. We knew that the Ninth Circuit couldn't help us with that. Only the Supreme Court can overturn Supreme Court precedent. However, now that the standing question is resolved in our favor, we have the green light to appeal to the Supreme Court."

Marbut says the attorneys involved are already beginning to work

on the appeal process.

Nine other states have enacted clones of the MFFA, and 20-some additional states have introduced MFFA-clone bills. *MSSA v. Holder*, attracted many intervenors and *amicus curiae* parties. These include the State of Montana, the attorneys general of eight other states, the Goldwater Institute, Gun Owners Foundation, the Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence, the CATO Institute, the Pacific Legal Foundation, and others.

-- www.ammoland.com/2013/08

* * *

To Whom It May Concerned,

Find enclosed \$10 to continue my subscription & \$10 for extra copies of the July issue. That was an excellent article on the Patriot Pastors and the Black Robed Regiment! The fiery sermons of these early pastors that fueled that first great revolution. We have a great work to do. That is to restore our Republic!

For Total Victory!

BB, Camden County

* * *

Patriots:

The June 2013 issue is among the best ever!

Enclosed is a donation in memory of Bill Opferman. Please use it to turn on some folks "behind the wire" – if you have any requests right now from those unable to send in subscription funds. Otherwise, use as needed at NJM.

Hope Independence Day went well, and will be better yet in 2014!

God bless your efforts up there!

Yours in Liberty,
CC, Texas

Ed.: CC, thank you for your generosity. We remember Bill Opferman as a man, an Air Force veteran, who for decades fought the U.N., and the elitists in the New Jersey Pinelands Commission who have deprived many people of their property rights.

NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650

ISSN 1523-4657

www.njmilitia.org

info@njmilitia.org

walnor@keepandbeararms.com

Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833
Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241
Johnson County, TX, Earl (817) 783-2375
Wake County, NC, Dave (919) 295-4008

Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00

Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____